



# Lazonby Neighbourhood Plan

Lazonby Parish Council & Steering Group



# Lazonby Parish Council Neighbourhood Plan

Public Meeting  
19<sup>th</sup> March  
7:30pm

# Agenda

- Introduction
- What is a Neighbourhood Plan
- Purpose of the consultation
- Feedback from the first consultation
- Defining a Way Forward
- Questions

# Responses

- Overall there were 90 responses returned out of 450 questionnaires = 20% responded

## Should the Plan include Design Criteria?

**72% said YES** to the importance of the plan having a design section.

46% highlighted the importance of a design plan focusing on new developments reflecting Lazonby's existing **Vernacular** Characteristics.



# Housing

## Should there be a limit on the size of housing schemes?

- **83%** agreed that should be a limit on the size of new housing developments.
- The size of schemes ranged from 5 – 28, the most common size of housing schemes found acceptable is around **10** units.
- **Do you agree?**

## Are there specific sites for housing and where are they?

65% of the 90 responders said yes and 50% who expressed preference indicated that new development should be focused on land previously developed, known as **Brownfield**. The Egg Packing Station is the most popular site, but this already has consent.







# Are there traffic implications and are there any solutions?

72% said yes there will be implications  
– current concerns over HGVs.

Possible solutions include:

- Small developments
- Limit overall development
- Traffic calming
- One way system / no one way system
- Parking standards in new development
- New car park in centre
- Put development on outskirts
- Tax developments for contributions

# Employment

- **67%** said yes to encouraging and supporting **tourism** – ideas include Café, B&Bs and campsite
- **57%** said all the existing employment sites should be protected from change of use and should remain for employment;
- **35%** said Yes to encouraging home-working and start-up businesses through policy - **25%** specified on **broadband** coverage as an incentive;
- **25%** said yes to helping the agricultural industry through **diversification** and allowing the change of use of redundant buildings;

# Conservation

- **72%** said conservation is **important** particularly footpaths, river bank, moving the recycling centre and protecting the church and chapel.

# Have Your Say...



# Tensions

## **Size of new developments?**

10 unit limit or less could meet concerns over traffic and character; but it would exclude affordable housing on site.

## **Specific Sites**

Protection of employment sites is in opposition to the reuse of brownfield sites preference for development of housing.

## **Traffic**

New Car Park? How to fund traffic improvements? Is there a single solution or identifiable range of measures? Can development unlock part of the solution?

# Way Forward

Prepare a draft plan that includes:

- A design section (based on the 2007 VDS?)
- A policy limiting development sizes (10 units, or more?)
- Do we specify sites to secure some affordable housing?
- Do we specify house types/sizes? Or will the design section cover it?
- Traffic and movement management is not a land use issue, but development decision do have implications. Possible use of developments to open up routes?
- Generate a list of projects as candidates for funding.